

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/445,656	07/17/2000	Alexander V. Kabanov	UNMC 63117A-	1208
110 7.	590 03/11/2004	•	EXAM	INER
DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN			WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
1601 MARKET STREET SUITE 2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<del>-</del>	IIA, PA 19103-2307	.*	1617	*
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	09/445656	plicant(s)  ABANOV
	Examiner WEBMAN	Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet benea	ath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	7	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIREN	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a realf NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default,</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute</li> </ul>	oly within the statutory minimum o expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the	f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. mailing date of this communication .
Status	/ , / 2	
Besponsive to communication(s) filed on	16/03	•
This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193		tion as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims		
$\frac{4-23}{\text{Claim(s)}}$		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
$\swarrow$ Claim(s) $12-23$		is/are allowed.
K/Claim(s) 4 - 11		is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)		•
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		
Application Papers		-
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		isapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	*	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority us</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of</li> <li>□ received.</li> </ul>	he priority documents have I	
<ul> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the Interest</li> </ul>		·
*Certified copies not received:	<del></del>	•
Attachment(s)		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	o(s) 🗆 Interv	view Summary, PTO-413
•		e of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	L NOUC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97) Part of Paper No. 2/7/04,

Art Unit: 1617

Applicants have dropped the limitation regarding crossing.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hubbell et al. (US Patent No. 5,410,016) in view of Ahmad et al. (US Patent No. 5,112,611) and Nakayama et al. (US Patent No. 5,531,917) and Weiner et al. (US Patent No. 5,171,737).

Hubbell et al. teach block copolymers comprising a water-soluble region such as polyethylene glycol and a biodegradable region such as polylactic or polyamino acid (column 7, line 6 to column 8, line 68). Controlled drug delivery of active agents such as enzymes form devices such as microspheres is disclosed (column 10, lines 29-48).

Hubbell et al. do not teach a charged surfactant having a biological activity.

Ahmad et al. teach papain for aiding human digestion (abstract). Controlled release is disclosed (column 3, lines 7-11).

Nakayama et al. teach the stabilization of a proteolytic enzyme with a surfactant (abstract). Combinations of nonionic, anionic, and ampoteric surfactants are specified (column 2, line 62 bridging column 3, line 12). Papain is disclosed (column 3, lines 17-18). Sodium lauroyl sarcosinate and lauryl dimethylaminoacetic betaine are specified (column 5 and 6, Table 1).

Art Unit: 1617

Weiner et al. teach dioleoyl phosphatidylethanolamine (DOPE) and dioleoyl phosphatidylcholine (DOPC) as surfactants use for delivery of bioactive agents (abstract, column 2, lines 28-34).

As ro the Block copolymer, polyaspartic acid and polylysine are well known in the art as commercially available polyamino acids for the Hubbell et al. biodegradable region.

As to the claimed nonionic surfactants, one of ordinary skill would use DOPE or of DOPC as such because their utility for delivering bioactive agents in view of Wiener et al.

As to the claims weight percent, it is within the skill in the art to select optimal such as ratios or weight percents of components in order to achieve a beneficial effect. See In re Boesch, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 19880). Therefore, the ratios or weight percents instantly claimed are not considered critical absent evidence showing unexpected and superior results.

Claims 4-11 are rejected.

Claims 12-23 are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1617

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Webman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 Am 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1617

Webman/LR February 10, 2004

3/9/04

EDWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500